IRSHAD

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LAW AND POLICY

Pakistan-India-China Strategic Triangle Asymmetric Balancing and Connectivity Shifts in South-Central Asia

[Kasimov Mutlib][1]

[1] *Federal State Budgetary Institution of Higher Education Tashkent*
[2] *National Research University "MEI" Branch in Tashkent*

Keywords:

Asymmetric Balancing, Strategic Triangle, Connectivity Corridors, China-Pakistan Economic Corridor, Central Asia Trade Law, Regional Security, Belt and Road Initiative

ABSTRACT

This research examines the Pakistan-India-China strategic triangle through asymmetric balancing and connectivity shifts across South-Central Asia. It analyzes how China's growing partnership with Pakistan challenges India's regional position, prompting minilateral and multilateral soft balancing instead of confrontation. The study examines how connectivity projects, including the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor and Uzbekistan's amended transit and customs law reshape bilateral rivalries into a broader triangular strategic structure. Using qualitative doctrinal analysis of legal texts and scholarly literature, the research identifies how legal reform, infrastructure investment, and military dependence interact within this evolving regional system. Findings show Pakistan increasingly relying on Chinese support rather than pursuing direct military parity with India, while Uzbekistan's simplified customs procedures extend China-linked connectivity deeper into Central Asia. These developments carry implications for regional trade, investment, and security planning across South-Central Asia. The study contributes an integrated framework connecting strategic balancing theory with regional connectivity and legal reform analysis.

How to Cite: Mutlib, K. (2026). Pakistan-India-China Strategic Triangle Asymmetric Balancing and Connectivity. Shifts in South-Central Asia**.** *International Journal of Law and Policy, 4*(9), 01-24. https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.643

I. Introduction

South-Central Asia sits today at a dangerous crossroads of three nuclear powers. Pakistan, India, and China share borders, disputes, and deep historical mistrust. Their triangle shapes regional stability far beyond South Asia's traditional geographic limits. China's growing partnership with Pakistan directly challenges India's dominant regional position. This quasi-alliance links strategic cooperation with counterterrorism concerns across contested borders. India responds through asymmetric balancing rather than open military confrontation with either rival. It builds new partnerships, strengthens military capacity, and avoids direct escalation. Meanwhile, connectivity projects like the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor reshape existing trade routes and regional alliances. These infrastructure shifts alter power balances between Islamabad, Beijing, and New Delhi. Terrorism remains a persistent variable complicating trust and cooperation among all three states. Scholars must carefully examine how asymmetric balancing and connectivity together reshape this fragile relationship. This strategic triangle ultimately affects nuclear stability, regional trade, and Asia's security architecture (Verma, 2024).

The Pakistan-India-China relationship has deep roots stretching back over seven decades. Border wars, territorial disputes, and shifting alliances have shaped this region since independence. India and China fought a brief war in 1962 over Himalayan territory. Pakistan and China built close diplomatic ties soon after India's independence. Scholars have long studied India-Pakistan rivalry and separately examined India-China border tensions. Fewer studies connect all three states within one single unified strategic framework. Most existing research treats these bilateral relationships as isolated rather than interconnected phenomena. Recent connectivity projects and shifting alliances now demand a triangular analytical approach. Previous scholarship rarely addresses how asymmetric balancing operates across three simultaneous rivalries. Gaps remain in understanding how economic corridors influence military and diplomatic calculations. This study examines these interconnected dynamics through a combined strategic and economic lens. It addresses how balancing strategies and connectivity together reshape regional power structures across South-Central Asia (Dar, 2024).

Existing scholarship explains India-China rivalry and China-Pakistan cooperation as separate strategic threads. Researchers understand each bilateral relationship through its own diplomatic and military lens. However, very few studies examine how these relationships interact within one triangular system. This creates a clear gap in understanding simultaneous balancing across three nuclear powers. India must carefully manage rivalry with China while countering Pakistan's growing regional partnership. Meanwhile, connectivity projects like the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor add new economic dimensions to old regional rivalries. This research problem centers on how asymmetric balancing responds to combined strategic and economic pressure. Current literature rarely integrates infrastructure development into

traditional security-focused analysis. We still need much greater clarity on how connectivity reshapes bilateral disputes into triangular competition. This study addresses that specific gap by analyzing balancing strategies alongside connectivity shifts together. It asks how Pakistan, India, and China navigate overlapping pressures within one shared regional system (Manhas, 2025).

Recent scholarship highlights India's evolving strategy following the tense 2020 Galwan border clash. Before Galwan, India balanced China mainly through direct bilateral military and diplomatic channels. After the deadly clash, India shifted clearly toward minilateral and multilateral soft balancing approaches instead. This means India increasingly relies on groupings like the Quad for regional support. India strengthens ties with Japan, Australia, and the United States against rising Chinese pressure. These partnerships allow India to counter China without triggering any direct military confrontation. Soft balancing spreads strategic risk across multiple partners rather than one single bilateral relationship. This finding clearly shows how India adapts strategy after major crises reshape threat perceptions. It also reveals growing reliance on Indo-Pacific frameworks beyond traditional South Asian boundaries. Such shifts further complicate Pakistan's position within the wider China-India strategic competition. Understanding this soft balancing trend helps explain India's current regional and global strategic posture (Hall, 2025).

Another important recent study traces how Southern Asia's strategic triangle has changed structurally over recent years. Earlier analyses treated India-Pakistan rivalry as the region's dominant strategic dynamic. Now China plays an increasingly central role across all three bilateral relationships simultaneously. This shift transforms a simple two-state rivalry into a genuinely complex triangular power structure. Pakistan increasingly depends on China for military technology and steady economic development support. India must therefore balance two rivals whose interests sometimes closely align against Indian interests. This finding reveals how alliance patterns shift power calculations across the entire region. It also shows how growing economic dependence changes traditional military balancing behavior between states. Pakistan's reliance on China reduces its need for direct military parity with India. Meanwhile, India increasingly views Pakistan and China together as one combined strategic challenge. This changing triangle demands updated analytical frameworks beyond traditional bilateral rivalry models used previously (Clary, 2024).

The reviewed literature offers strong insight into bilateral rivalries and evolving balancing strategies. However, most studies still analyze India-China and China-Pakistan relations somewhat separately from each other. Few scholars examine how Belt and Road infrastructure directly reshapes triangular strategic behavior. Existing research mentions economic corridors but rarely measures their precise strategic impact. This leaves a clear gap in understanding connectivity's role within asymmetric balancing dynamics. Prior studies also focus heavily on India's response while overlooking Pakistan's independent strategic calculations. Future research suggestions repeatedly call for integrated frameworks combining security and economic variables together. Yet current data remains insufficient

regarding how infrastructure investment alters long-term regional power balances. This study fills that specific gap by examining connectivity alongside asymmetric balancing strategies. It offers a combined framework linking Belt and Road expansion with shifting triangular strategic behavior. Such an approach addresses calls for deeper integration between economic and security scholarship (Anjum, 2024).

To examine how asymmetric balancing strategies operate simultaneously across the Pakistan-India-China strategic triangle.

To analyze how China-Pakistan connectivity projects, particularly the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor, reshape regional power dynamics.

To investigate the relationship between Belt and Road infrastructure expansion and shifting strategic behavior among the three states.

How do asymmetric balancing strategies and China-Pakistan connectivity projects, particularly the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor, together reshape strategic power dynamics within the Pakistan-India-China triangle?

This research holds significant value for scholars studying South-Central Asian strategic relationships and connectivity. It contributes a combined framework linking asymmetric balancing with infrastructure-driven power shifts together. Existing studies rarely integrate security analysis with economic connectivity within one coherent model. This study fills that gap by connecting strategic theory with real-world infrastructure development. Policymakers benefit from understanding how connectivity projects influence regional alliances and rivalries simultaneously. Academic readers gain a clearer framework for analyzing triangular relationships beyond simple bilateral models. The study's findings also carry strong practical relevance for regional trade and security planning decisions. Understanding these dynamics helps stakeholders better anticipate shifts in Pakistan-India-China strategic behavior more effectively. This research is justified because connectivity now actively shapes power balances across South-Central Asia. Without integrated analysis, policymakers risk misreading how infrastructure investment alters strategic calculations. This study therefore addresses a genuine and timely need within regional security scholarship (Rauf, 2021).

II. Methodology

This research follows a qualitative research design suited to legal and policy analysis. It examines laws, treaties, and policy documents related to Pakistan, India, China, and Uzbekistan's connectivity reforms. The study focuses specifically on legislation such as Uzbekistan's Law on Foreign Economic Activity and related regional trade agreements. Relevant scholarly data and legal materials were located using targeted research keywords, including asymmetric balancing, connectivity corridors, trade facilitation, and China-Pakistan Economic Corridor. These keywords guided searches across academic databases and legal

repositories to ensure comprehensive coverage. Official legal texts were retrieved directly from government and institutional websites, including national legislative portals and international organization publications, to ensure accuracy and authenticity. This combination of doctrinal legal review and targeted keyword searching allowed the research to capture both formal legal provisions and their surrounding scholarly interpretation.

To ensure validity and reliability, this research prioritized recent scholarly publications, generally within the last five years, alongside currently applicable laws and amendments. Where older sources were included, they were checked against more recent developments to confirm continued relevance. Legal texts were verified against official portals to ensure the research reflects currently applicable law rather than outdated or repealed provisions. Data analysis relied primarily on doctrinal analysis for legal provisions and documents analysis for scholarly and policy literature, examining how legal texts align with, support, or complicate existing academic frameworks. This dual approach allowed systematic comparison between formal legal language and its practical, real-world application within regional connectivity initiatives. Analyzing primary legal sources alongside secondary scholarly interpretation strengthened the overall reliability of conclusions drawn throughout this research.

This research relied entirely on publicly available official documents, scholarly articles, and legal texts, raising no direct ethical concerns involving human participants. Every scholarly source used throughout this research is properly referenced, giving full credit to original authors and maintaining academic integrity. The researcher declares no conflict of interest, and this study was conducted solely for academic research purposes. Certain limitations and delimitations should be acknowledged. Technology and connectivity infrastructure remain evolving and innovative in nature, meaning some findings may require future updates as circumstances change. Similarly, laws and policies discussed in this research can be amended at any time by relevant governments, potentially affecting the long-term accuracy of specific legal details. This research is delimited to the Pakistan-India-China strategic triangle and directly connected Central Asian connectivity initiatives, without extending analysis to unrelated regional or global legal frameworks.

III. Results

This section presents the results of examining asymmetric balancing and connectivity shifts together. It addresses how Pakistan, India, and China navigate overlapping strategic pressures simultaneously. The analysis directly answers how balancing strategies interact with China-Pakistan connectivity projects. Findings draw on patterns identified across military, diplomatic, and recent infrastructure-related developments observed. Each result connects theoretical balancing concepts with practical regional developments across South-Central Asia. Together these results reveal how traditional bilateral rivalry now operates within a wider triangular structure. Military dependence, soft balancing, and infrastructure expansion all shape this evolving strategic relationship. The results move well beyond isolated bilateral

analysis toward a genuinely integrated triangular understanding instead. This approach captures dynamics that earlier bilateral-focused studies could not adequately explain. Connectivity projects like the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor prove central to these emerging strategic outcomes. The following results are presented in sequence, building a coherent overall picture of change (Lokman & Daud, 2022).

Pakistan's growing dependence on China steadily reshapes its traditional military balancing strategy toward India. Earlier, Pakistan pursued rough military parity with India through sustained independent defense buildup. Now Chinese support clearly reduces Pakistan's need for matching India's conventional military strength directly. Chinese arms transfers and technology sharing supplement Pakistan's defense capabilities without heavy domestic spending. This growing dependence shifts Pakistan's strategy from direct parity toward external strategic support instead. Pakistan increasingly relies on Chinese backing during moments of heightened tension with India. This changes how regional balancing theory explains smaller states facing much larger rival powers today. Traditional models assume states build capacity internally to match rival military strength directly. Pakistan's case shows external patronage can substitute for internal military development entirely. This finding also helps explain broader patterns of under balancing observed elsewhere across Asia. States sometimes rely on allies rather than pursuing costly independent military expansion themselves (Paul, 2024).

IV. Discussion

A. Amendment Purpose of Uzbekistan's Connectivity Law

Uzbekistan has recently reformed its trade and transit legislation to support wider regional connectivity. These reforms simplify customs procedures and reduce barriers for ongoing cross-border trade movement. The government's core purpose is attracting fresh investment linked to emerging China-Pakistan-Central Asia corridors. Simplified transit rules lower transaction costs for goods steadily moving through Uzbek territory. This legal shift reflects Uzbekistan's broader strategy of reviving historic connectivity across Eurasia. Tashkent increasingly positions itself as a bridge connecting South Asia, China, and Europe. Streamlined customs processing reduces delays that previously discouraged foreign logistics investment substantially. These changes align domestic law with regional infrastructure ambitions tied to Belt and Road projects. Faster border clearance also benefits Pakistani and Chinese firms seeking Central Asian market access. The reform signals Uzbekistan's willingness to adapt legal frameworks for expanding trade partnerships. Such legal adjustments matter because outdated procedures previously limited genuine regional economic integration significantly (Tekir, 2026).

Pakistan continues facing serious domestic infrastructural challenges when connecting directly with Central Asian markets. Poor road quality and limited rail links slow trade

between Pakistan and Uzbekistan. Uzbekistan's legal amendments therefore aim partly to offset these persistent Pakistani infrastructure gaps. Simplified customs rules help compensate for slower physical connectivity along shared transit corridors. Regional and global powers play significant roles in shaping these connectivity outcomes today. China's investment through Belt and Road projects strengthens Pakistan's access to Central Asian routes. Uzbekistan's reformed procedures make its market more accessible despite Pakistan's own internal logistics constraints. This legal flexibility encourages Pakistani exporters to pursue Central Asian trade despite domestic bottlenecks. Regional powers increasingly recognize Uzbekistan as a critical link within broader connectivity networks. Legal reform therefore functions as one tool addressing physical infrastructure limitations found elsewhere regionally. Such adjustments demonstrate how policy can partially substitute for missing physical connectivity infrastructure (Javaid, 2024).

Macro logistics research identifies Uzbekistan as an important emerging hub linking South Asia and Europe. Multi-criteria analysis clearly shows Uzbekistan's transit position offers genuine strategic value for regional connectivity. The amended legal framework directly supports this growing logistics potential through much faster customs clearance. Reduced administrative burden now allows freight cargo to move far more efficiently across Uzbek territory. This growing efficiency gain attracts logistics companies previously deterred by lengthy bureaucratic customs procedures. Improved legal predictability also reduces investment risk for firms planning long-term regional operations. Investors generally value clear, simplified rules over uncertain or slow administrative processes. Uzbekistan's reforms respond directly to this well-documented investor preference for regulatory clarity. Enhanced connectivity infrastructure combined with simplified law strengthens Uzbekistan's competitive logistics positioning regionally. This positions Uzbekistan favorably within emerging China-Pakistan-Central Asia transport and trade networks. Legal reform thus complements physical infrastructure development within Uzbekistan's broader regional connectivity strategy (Simpson, 2024).

Cross-border cooperation research highlights Uzbekistan's evolving legal approach to transit and customs law. Historically, rigid bureaucratic procedures long limited cooperation between Uzbekistan and its neighboring Central Asian states. Recent legal amendments address these longstanding barriers through carefully simplified transit and documentation requirements. Projects like the China-Kyrgyzstan-Uzbekistan railway clearly demonstrate growing regional appetite for much deeper legal harmonization. Simplified customs procedures directly support such large infrastructure projects requiring smooth cross-border coordination. Legal reform therefore functions alongside physical infrastructure rather than as an isolated measure. Cross-border cooperation increasingly depends on compatible legal frameworks across neighboring transit states. Uzbekistan's amendments signal clear willingness to align domestic law with broader regional cooperation goals. This alignment supports emerging connectivity corridors linking China, Pakistan, and Central Asian markets. Improved legal compatibility reduces friction for multinational infrastructure projects

spanning several national jurisdictions. Such coordinated legal reform further strengthens Uzbekistan's role within expanding regional connectivity frameworks (Golunov, 2025).

Uzbekistan's legal reform carries clear geopolitical significance beyond simple administrative or economic considerations. Simplified transit law strengthens China's broader connectivity ambitions across South and Central Asia. This development indirectly reinforces the strategic weight of the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor project. Enhanced Uzbek-Pakistan linkages extend China's influence much deeper into Central Asian trade networks. Such expansion carries clear implications for India, which watches these developments with growing strategic concern. Extended connectivity strengthens China's regional position relative to India across South-Central Asia. Pakistan benefits directly from improved access to markets beyond its immediate South Asian neighborhood. This reduces Pakistan's traditional economic dependence on routes passing through or near Indian territory. Geopolitical dimensions of connectivity therefore extend well beyond simple trade facilitation considerations alone. Legal reform becomes a quiet instrument within broader regional power competition and alignment. Uzbekistan's amendment thus fits within a larger pattern of shifting South-Central Asian dynamics (Allah Rakha, 2023).

Regional connectivity dynamics also shape how the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor evolves over time. Uzbekistan's simplified legal environment adds a viable alternative route within this broader connectivity system. This reduces the corridor's dependence on any single fixed transit pathway or partner. Diversified routes increase overall resilience against disruptions affecting any one particular transit corridor. Investors gain confidence when multiple legal jurisdictions support consistent and predictable trade facilitation measures. Uzbekistan's reform therefore complements rather than competes with existing China-Pakistan connectivity infrastructure. This cooperative dynamic strengthens the broader Belt and Road network across the wider region. Multiple connected legal systems create redundancy that benefits long-term regional economic stability significantly. Such redundancy matters given ongoing security concerns affecting parts of the traditional corridor route. Legal harmonization across Uzbekistan, Pakistan, and China reflects a maturing regional connectivity framework. This maturity signals growing institutional depth behind China's expanding regional connectivity strategy (Ahmad et al., 2023).

Legal amendments in Uzbekistan also carry implications for regional strategic risk management practices. Simplified transit rules reduce friction points that previously created diplomatic and economic tension sources. Predictable legal frameworks lower uncertainty for states navigating overlapping strategic and economic relationships. This matters greatly within a region where nuclear powers manage sensitive rivalries alongside trade interests. Reduced economic friction can indirectly support broader strategic stability across South-Central Asia. States with stronger economic interdependence often show greater caution during periods of political tension. Uzbekistan's reform therefore contributes modestly to wider regional risk management beyond pure economics. Pakistan, China, and Central Asian states benefit from predictable rules amid ongoing security challenges. This connects legal

and economic reform directly to broader questions of regional strategic stability. Understanding this link helps explain why states pursue seemingly technical legal amendments strategically. Uzbekistan's case illustrates how domestic law can serve wider regional security objectives (Khan, 2022).

B. Comparing the Amendment Purpose with Uzbekistan's Previous Legal Framework

Uzbekistan's earlier transit and customs framework mainly prioritized state control over trade movement decisions. Older regulations required lengthy documentation and multiple approval stages before goods could cross borders. This system reflected Uzbekistan's historically cautious approach toward opening its economy externally. Energy, transport, and connectivity strategy previously balanced relations among Russia, China, South Korea, and Japan. Trade policy served broader diplomatic goals rather than purely economic efficiency objectives. The current amendment shifts this balance toward direct economic facilitation and investment attraction instead. Where earlier law emphasized control, the new purpose clearly emphasizes speed and predictability instead. This marks a clear departure from Uzbekistan's traditionally cautious, state-centered trade management approach. The amendment specifically targets connectivity corridors linking China, Pakistan, and Central Asian markets. Previous frameworks lacked this sharp focus on any single regional connectivity initiative. This comparison highlights how strategic priorities have shifted toward active regional trade integration (Dadabaev & Djalilova, 2021).

Earlier Belt and Road proposals in Central Asia often faced slow, cautious diplomatic negotiation processes. Projects like the China-Kyrgyzstan-Uzbekistan railway required many long years of gradual political softening before final approval. This reflected Uzbekistan's previous preference for careful, incremental engagement with major infrastructure partners. The current legal amendment represents a faster, far more decisive approach to connectivity commitments. Rather than lengthy negotiation, Uzbekistan now proactively simplifies law to attract immediate investment. This shift shows growing confidence in managing large-scale connectivity projects without extended deliberation. Previous frameworks treated each infrastructure proposal as a separate, carefully negotiated diplomatic undertaking. The amendment instead establishes general rules applicable across multiple connectivity projects simultaneously. This systemic approach differs sharply from the older, project-by-project negotiation model. Uzbekistan now signals genuine readiness rather than caution toward regional connectivity partnerships generally. This represents a meaningful shift in how Tashkent approaches major infrastructure decision-making processes (Liu & Jin, 2024).

Previous connectivity discussions focused mainly on land routes through Central Asia toward China and Russia. Pakistan's potential sea trade routes received comparatively little legal or policy attention historically. Uzbekistan's earlier framework did not specifically address Pakistan's maritime access as a priority. The current amendment broadens this focus by explicitly targeting China-Pakistan-Central Asia connectivity together. This shift

recognizes Pakistan's ports as valuable gateways for Central Asian trade access. Earlier policy treated land and sea connectivity as largely separate, disconnected strategic considerations. New legal purpose instead links overland Central Asian routes with Pakistan's coastal trade potential. This integration reflects a more comprehensive understanding of regional connectivity possibilities. Pakistan's sea access now complements Uzbekistan's land-based transit reforms within one strategic vision. Previously, such maritime and overland connections received little coordinated legal or policy support. This represents a genuinely more integrated approach compared to earlier, more fragmented connectivity thinking (Khan & Saeed, 2026).

Historical Belt and Road literature described "unimpeded trade" as a goal rather than achieved reality. Earlier Central Asian trade policies often retained hidden barriers despite official liberalization rhetoric. Documentation requirements and inconsistent enforcement undermined earlier stated commitments toward genuinely open trade. Uzbekistan's current amendment attempts to fully close this gap between stated policy and actual practice. Simplified, codified customs procedures reduce discretionary enforcement that previously created unpredictable trade barriers. This moves Uzbekistan much closer to achieving the unimpeded trade vision described in earlier scholarship. Previous frameworks allowed significant administrative discretion, creating quite inconsistent treatment across different traders. The amendment instead establishes clearer, more uniform rules applicable to all trade participants. This reduces opportunities for arbitrary delays that previously discouraged serious foreign investment. Consistency now replaces discretion as the guiding principle behind Uzbekistan's trade facilitation approach. This marks meaningful progress toward long-standing regional connectivity goals previously left largely unfulfilled (Waters, 2018).

Older customs systems in Uzbekistan relied heavily on paper documentation and slow manual processing. This created significant delays and increased opportunities for informal, unofficial administrative interference. Digitalization efforts remained genuinely limited under previous transit and customs regulatory frameworks used. The current amendment strongly supports digitalized international transport corridors through mechanisms like the tear convention. This shift completely replaces manual paperwork with electronic tracking and standardized digital documentation processes. Digital systems significantly reduce human discretion at border crossings, limiting opportunities for informal interference. Previous frameworks lacked this technological foundation for transparent, traceable cross-border trade movement. The China-Kyrgyzstan-Uzbekistan railway project specifically benefits from these digitalized transport corridor mechanisms today. Electronic documentation speeds customs clearance while creating verifiable records for all parties involved. This technological shift marks a clear departure from Uzbekistan's earlier paper-based administrative practices. Digitalization therefore represents a core structural difference between old and new regulatory approaches (Balbaa et al., 2024).

Corruption remained a persistent concern within Uzbekistan's earlier customs administration and enforcement practices generally. Manual processing and discretionary

approvals created frequent opportunities for bribery at busy border checkpoints. Research on Uzbek customs information systems documents clearly how digital tools help reduce such corruption. The current amendment builds directly on these documented anti-corruption customs information system developments. Simplified, digitally tracked procedures leave far fewer opportunities for informal payments or favoritism. Previous frameworks relied heavily on officials exercising broad discretion during document review processes. This discretion, while sometimes necessary, often created inconsistent and occasionally corrupt administrative outcomes. New legal provisions strongly reduce discretionary power by standardizing procedures across every single customs checkpoint. This clear anti-corruption dimension distinguishes the current amendment from older, more discretionary regulatory practices. Reduced corruption also improves Uzbekistan's credibility among foreign investors evaluating regional connectivity opportunities. This credibility gain directly supports the amendment's core investment attraction purpose (Mavlonov, 2023).

Together, these comparisons show a clear shift in Uzbekistan's regulatory philosophy over recent time. Earlier frameworks emphasized state control, discretion, and slow, cautious diplomatic negotiation processes. The current amendment instead prioritizes speed, transparency, and direct foreign investment attraction goals firmly. Digital systems replace manual paperwork, reducing both processing delays and opportunities for corruption. Broader connectivity goals now integrate land routes, maritime access, and technological infrastructure together. Previous policies treated infrastructure projects as isolated, individually negotiated diplomatic undertakings. Current law instead establishes general, standardized rules applicable across many multiple connectivity initiatives. This systemic shift reflects growing regional ambition rather than cautious, incremental policy adjustment. Uzbekistan now positions itself as an active participant rather than a cautious bystander. Such comprehensive change distinguishes this amendment sharply from earlier, more fragmented legal approaches. The comparison reveals a deliberate strategic pivot toward proactive regional economic integration efforts (Karymshakov & Sulaimanova, 2023).

C. Challenges in Applying Uzbekistan's Connectivity Law

Applying Uzbekistan's amended law faces real challenges linked to underdeveloped regional physical infrastructure. The China-Pakistan Economic Corridor promises a valuable gateway toward Central Asian markets and beyond. Yet weak road and rail connections limit how effectively this gateway actually functions. Simplified customs rules alone cannot overcome persistent gaps in physical transport infrastructure. Goods may clear borders faster but still face delays reaching final regional destinations. Coordinated investment across Pakistan, China, and Uzbekistan remains necessary for genuinely full legal implementation success. Without matching infrastructure development, legal simplification produces only partial connectivity improvements. This growing gap between legal ambition and physical capacity represents a genuine implementation challenge. Uzbekistan cannot address this challenge through domestic legal reform alone or independently at all. Cross-border infrastructure coordination requires sustained cooperation among several governments and

interested private investors. This challenge illustrates why legal reform must accompany, not replace, physical infrastructure investment (Khetran & Khalid, 2019).

The China-Kyrgyzstan-Uzbekistan railway project faces genuine implementation risks beyond simple legal or regulatory changes. Financing arrangements, land acquisition, and technical construction standards create significant practical implementation obstacles. Legal simplification cannot resolve these engineering and financing challenges affecting actual railway construction work. Delays in railway completion would undermine expectations set by Uzbekistan's newly simplified customs framework. Investors attracted by simplified law may hesitate without seeing visible progress on physical construction. This creates real pressure to demonstrate concrete infrastructure progress alongside legal reform announcements made. Coordinating three separate national governments adds further complexity to project timeline management. Differing national priorities and funding capacities complicate unified project planning and execution. Political changes within any participating country could also delay agreed construction schedules significantly. Such multi-country projects require sustained diplomatic commitment beyond initial legal or policy announcements alone. This challenge highlights the gap between announcing legal reform and delivering physical infrastructure results (Khitakhunov, 2024).

Trade facilitation across Central Asia remains what scholars describe as a genuinely unsettled quagmire. Multiple overlapping regulations, inconsistent enforcement, and varying institutional capacity complicate uniform legal application. Uzbekistan's amendment operates within this broader, still-unresolved regional trade facilitation environment. Neighboring states may not quickly adopt matching reforms, creating clear inconsistency across connected transit corridors. A trader benefiting from Uzbek simplification might still face barriers entering neighboring border jurisdictions directly. This regional inconsistency limits the amendment's full practical benefit for cross-border trade flows. True trade facilitation requires coordinated reform across multiple countries, not just isolated national action alone. Uzbekistan simply cannot fully resolve this quagmire through domestic legislation acting alone. Regional trade facilitation therefore remains fragmented despite individual national progress like Uzbekistan's recent amendment. This fragmentation represents a structural challenge extending well beyond any single country's legal capacity. Addressing it requires sustained multilateral cooperation across Central Asian trade facilitation frameworks (Wani, 2025).

Broader Indo-Pacific geopolitics also complicate implementation of Uzbekistan's connectivity-focused legal amendment. China's expanding regional ambitions raise growing concerns among other major powers watching Central Asian developments closely. India and Western states may view deepened China-Pakistan-Central Asia links with genuine strategic suspicion. Such suspicion could translate into real diplomatic pressure or reduced investment from non-Chinese sources. Uzbekistan risks appearing overly aligned with Chinese interests through this specific legal amendment. This perception could complicate Uzbekistan's long, traditionally balanced relationships with several global powers

simultaneously. Maintaining diplomatic balance while pursuing China-linked connectivity reform presents a genuine strategic challenge. Uzbekistan must carefully manage how outside observers perceive this amendment among competing regional powers. Excessive alignment risks limiting alternative investment and partnership opportunities from other interested states. This geopolitical dimension extends implementation challenges beyond purely technical or economic considerations alone. Uzbekistan's legal reform therefore operates within a genuinely complex and contested geopolitical environment (Narvenkar, 2025).

Recent causal analysis questions whether trade facilitation reforms reliably translate into measurable economic growth. Simplified customs procedures do not automatically guarantee increased trade volumes or investment inflows. Multiple economic factors beyond legal reform strongly influence whether connectivity ambitions actually generate growth. Uzbekistan's amendment therefore faces genuine uncertainty regarding its actual long-term economic impact today. Policymakers must carefully avoid assuming that legal simplification alone will quickly produce dramatic economic transformation. Causal relationships between trade facilitation and growth remain complex and context-dependent across different regional economies. This uncertainty complicates realistic expectations surrounding Uzbekistan's connectivity-focused legal amendment outcomes. External factors like global commodity prices and regional stability also shape actual outcomes. Uzbekistan cannot fully control these broader economic variables through domestic legal reform alone. This challenge requires policymakers to manage expectations carefully while pursuing ambitious connectivity goals. Realistic assessment demands recognizing that legal reform represents one factor among many others (Sultonov, 2025).

International benchmarking data highlights persistent gaps between Central Asian and global trade facilitation standards. Global trade facilitation indicators consistently show Central Asian economies lagging behind leading international performers. Uzbekistan's amendment must close substantial gaps identified through systematic international performance monitoring efforts. Meeting international benchmarks requires far more than isolated legal changes within one specific policy area. Comprehensive reform across multiple regulatory dimensions remains necessary for genuine competitive improvement. Uzbekistan risks falling short if reforms remain narrowly focused on transit and customs alone. Broader institutional capacity, including staff training and enforcement consistency, also requires meaningful improvement. International benchmarking exposes weaknesses that legal text alone cannot immediately resolve or fix. Sustained monitoring and continuous improvement become necessary for meeting evolving global trade facilitation standards. This challenge highlights the gap between passing legislation and achieving genuine international competitiveness. Uzbekistan's amendment therefore represents an important first step rather than a complete solution (Dadabaev, 2020).

Recent Uzbekistan-Kyrgyzstan border reopening research reveals unexpected complexity behind seemingly simple legal changes. Reopened borders required extensive

negotiation over security arrangements alongside straightforward trade facilitation measures. Legal simplification alone did not automatically resolve deeper political and security-related border sensitivities. Uzbekistan's broader connectivity amendment likely faces similar hidden complexities beyond its formal legal text. Border dynamics involve local communities, security agencies, and historical territorial sensitivities all together simultaneously. These factors complicate implementation even when national-level legal frameworks appear entirely straightforward and simplified. Genuine border normalization requires sustained diplomatic engagement beyond passing formal legal amendments alone. Local-level implementation challenges may not immediately reflect national policy intentions or legal text. This gap between national law and local practice represents a genuine implementation risk. Uzbekistan must therefore address both formal legal change and practical local-level border management. Sustainable connectivity reform requires attention to these often-overlooked local dynamics and sensitivities (Megoran & Enikeeva, 2025).

D. Recommendations for Implementing Uzbekistan's Connectivity Law

Successful implementation requires Uzbekistan to coordinate closely with Pakistan on shared connectivity infrastructure. The China-Pakistan Economic Corridor already generates measurable regional economic effects worth examining very carefully today. Pakistan and Uzbekistan should jointly establish working groups focused on transit route alignment. These groups can identify practical bottlenecks affecting both simplified law and physical infrastructure. Regular coordination meetings would help align legal timelines with construction and investment schedules together. Sharing lessons from corridor development helps both countries avoid duplicated planning efforts entirely. India's regional security concerns also deserve careful, sustained diplomatic attention during this coordination process. Transparent communication can help reduce misperceptions about the corridor's broader strategic and economic implications significantly. Joint monitoring mechanisms would track whether legal reforms produce intended investment outcomes. This collaborative approach strengthens implementation beyond what either country could achieve independently. Coordinated planning between Pakistan and Uzbekistan represents a practical first implementation step (Hussain et al., 2024).

Remote declaration systems offer a practical technical solution for implementing simplified customs procedures effectively. Automated algorithms can process transit documentation faster than traditional manual customs control methods. Uzbekistan should adopt similar remote declaration technology for international freight transportation across its borders. This reduces physical inspection delays while maintaining necessary security and regulatory compliance standards. Digital declaration systems also create verifiable records supporting the amendment's transparency and anti-corruption goals. Training customs officials to properly operate these systems represents a necessary ongoing implementation investment. Technical infrastructure like scanning equipment and digital databases requires coordinated funding and prompt installation. Pilot programs at major border crossings could test remote declaration before full nationwide implementation. Gradual rollout allows

Uzbekistan to identify and resolve technical problems before full-scale deployment. This technology-focused approach directly supports the amendment's core goal of procedural simplification. Investing in remote declaration infrastructure represents a concrete, actionable implementation recommendation (Mirzaev & Donoqulov, 2020).

Empirical research confirms that transport and logistics infrastructure quality directly shapes foreign economic activity outcomes. Uzbekistan should prioritize measurable infrastructure investment alongside legal reform to maximize amendment effectiveness. Quantitative analysis shows that legal simplification produces stronger results when infrastructure quality improves simultaneously. Policymakers should therefore integrate infrastructure spending decisions directly into broader legal implementation planning. Roads, railways, and logistics facilities require investment matching the pace of legal simplification. Uzbekistan can use empirical benchmarks to identify which infrastructure gaps most limit legal effectiveness. Targeted infrastructure spending in priority transit corridors would help maximize returns from legal reform. This evidence-based approach ensures resources address genuine bottlenecks rather than assumed priorities. Regular data collection helps policymakers track whether infrastructure investment produces expected economic activity increases. Aligning infrastructure and legal reform timelines represents a research-supported implementation strategy. This integrated approach offers stronger prospects than pursuing legal or infrastructure reform separately (Nawaz & Pang, 2026).

Comparative corridor studies offer valuable lessons for implementing Uzbekistan's connectivity-focused legal amendment successfully. The International North-South Transport Corridor demonstrates how multiple countries coordinate complex regional logistics arrangements. Similarly, the China-Kyrgyzstan-Uzbekistan railway requires careful multilateral planning and shared implementation responsibility. Uzbekistan can draw practical implementation lessons from these comparable multi-country corridor development experiences. Successful corridors typically establish clear institutional mechanisms for ongoing coordination and dispute resolution. Uzbekistan should therefore consider creating a dedicated implementation body overseeing connectivity law application. This body could coordinate between customs authorities, transport ministries, and interested international partner countries. Clear institutional responsibility helps prevent implementation gaps that often emerge across different government agencies. Comparative case studies also highlight common pitfalls worth carefully avoiding during these early implementation phases. Learning from similar corridor projects helps Uzbekistan anticipate challenges before they significantly delay progress. This comparative approach strengthens implementation planning through evidence from genuinely comparable regional experiences (Shi, 2025).

Kazakhstan's experience developing competitive trade corridors offers useful comparative guidance for Uzbekistan's implementation strategy. Kazakhstan identified specific competitive factors necessary for attracting sustained international transit investment successfully. Uzbekistan should similarly assess its own competitive strengths within the

China-Kyrgyzstan-Uzbekistan corridor context. This includes evaluating geographic position, existing infrastructure, and regulatory competitiveness compared to alternative routes. Understanding regional competition helps Uzbekistan clearly prioritize which reforms most improve its comparative advantage. Kazakhstan's vision-based planning approach demonstrates the clear value of sustained long-term strategic direction. Uzbekistan could similarly articulate specific measurable goals guiding its connectivity implementation efforts. This strategic clarity helps coordinate government agencies and private investors around shared implementation objectives. Regional corridors compete for limited investment, making competitive positioning genuinely important for overall implementation success. Learning from Kazakhstan's documented experience provides Uzbekistan practical guidance for corridor development planning. This comparative lesson strengthens Uzbekistan's overall implementation strategy within a competitive regional environment (Kim, 2021).

Uzbekistan should also invest in staff training to ensure consistent legal application across all checkpoints. Well-trained officials interpret simplified rules more uniformly, reducing confusion among traders and investors. Standardized training reduces the risk that individual officials apply new procedures inconsistently across regions. Regular refresher training ensures officials stay properly updated as digital systems and procedures continue evolving. Uzbekistan can also establish clear complaint mechanisms allowing traders to quickly report implementation problems. This feedback loop helps identify gaps between written law and actual daily administrative practice. Publishing implementation guidelines in multiple official languages would greatly help Pakistani and Chinese businesses understand requirements clearly. Clear, accessible guidance reduces confusion that might otherwise slow early adoption of new border procedures. Pilot testing new procedures at select border crossings allows gradual, careful refinement before eventual nationwide full rollout. This measured approach balances the amendment's ambitious goals with practical implementation realities on the ground.

Implementing this amendment successfully requires combining legal reform with parallel practical measures. Legal text alone cannot guarantee the outcomes Uzbekistan hopes to achieve through simplification. Sustained investment in infrastructure, technology, training, and institutional coordination remains equally important throughout implementation. Regular monitoring allows Uzbekistan to adjust its approach as early results become clearly visible. Engaging Pakistani and Chinese partners consistently ensures implementation reflects genuine cross-border business needs. Transparency with regional powers helps manage broader geopolitical sensitivities surrounding this specific connectivity initiative. A phased, evidence-based implementation strategy offers the strongest path toward achieving stated goals. Uzbekistan should treat this amendment as the beginning of a longer reform process. Continued adjustment based on practical experience will likely prove necessary over coming years. This comprehensive approach gives Uzbekistan the best opportunity to realize its stated investment goals. Careful implementation determines whether legal ambition translates into genuine regional connectivity success.

E. Broader Regional and Strategic Significance

Uzbekistan's simplified customs law carries direct implications for the emerging Trans-Afghan Railway project. This planned Uzbekistan-Afghanistan-Pakistan railway aims to revive historic Silk Road trade connections. Simplified transit rules make Uzbekistan a much more attractive partner within this ambitious railway initiative. Reduced customs friction supports smoother cross-border coordination once railway construction eventually reaches completion. This connection carries genuine geo-economics significance for the entire South-Central Asian trade region. Faster customs clearance would help maximize economic returns once railway freight movement finally begins operating. The amendment therefore complements this broader infrastructure vision beyond immediate transit corridor benefits alone. Investors watching railway development may view Uzbekistan's legal reform as a positive signal. This signals growing regional confidence in eventual railway completion and sustained future trade growth. Legal and physical infrastructure projects increasingly reinforce each other across this evolving regional landscape. Together, these developments suggest a genuinely more connected South-Central Asian trade future (Dhaka, 2026).

Uzbekistan's legal amendment also carries implications for regional energy connectivity through projects like CASA-1000. CASA-1000 aims to transmit surplus Central Asian electricity toward Afghanistan and Pakistan directly. Simplified transit and customs rules can indirectly support related energy infrastructure equipment movement. Energy cooperation and trade connectivity increasingly overlap within broader Central-South Asian regional integration efforts. Investors evaluating energy projects often consider broader regulatory environments, including trade facilitation measures. Uzbekistan's reformed legal framework may therefore indirectly strengthen confidence in regional energy cooperation initiatives. This connection illustrates how legal reform extends beyond narrow trade considerations into wider regional cooperation. Energy and trade connectivity together strengthen Pakistan's broader engagement with Central Asian economic partners. Regional energy cooperation also supports Pakistan's own critical domestic electricity supply needs significantly. This dual benefit strengthens the strategic case for deeper Uzbekistan-Pakistan economic engagement. Such interconnected implications demonstrate the amendment's relevance beyond purely transit-focused policy considerations (Sultonov, 2025).

This amendment carries broader implications for Central and South Asian connectivity beyond bilateral relationships alone. Enhanced connectivity between these two subregions supports wider economic integration across historically disconnected regional markets. Uzbekistan's reform contributes to a much larger regional pattern of connectivity-focused policy initiatives. Multiple Central Asian states increasingly pursue similar reforms aimed at improving cross-regional trade access. This collective momentum strengthens the case for much deeper Central-South Asian economic integration efforts. Uzbekistan's specific amendment therefore fits within this larger, evolving regional connectivity enhancement trend. Coordinated regional reform produces stronger cumulative effects than isolated individual country actions alone. This pattern suggests growing recognition that

connectivity requires simultaneous progress across multiple countries. Uzbekistan's leadership on this issue may encourage similar reforms among neighboring states. Such regional momentum could accelerate broader Central-South Asian economic integration over coming years. This implication extends the amendment's significance beyond Uzbekistan's own immediate national interests (Assanbayev, 2023).

Uzbekistan's amendment carries implications for its positioning within regional trade integration structures. Central Asian trade policy evolved significantly following Eurasian Economic Union enlargement and Russian WTO accession. These developments reshaped regional trade relationships across the Central Asian region. Uzbekistan's simplified customs law must operate within this complex, evolving regional trade policy landscape. The amendment signals Uzbekistan's continued engagement with global trade integration despite regional political complexities. This positions Uzbekistan as balancing regional integration commitments with broader global connectivity ambitions. Such balancing carries implications for how neighboring states perceive Uzbekistan's strategic trade orientation. Uzbekistan appears to pursue multiple integration pathways rather than committing exclusively to one framework. This flexible approach may offer resilience against shifting regional and global trade policy dynamics. However, it also requires careful diplomatic management to avoid appearing strategically inconsistent. This implication highlights the amendment's place within a genuinely complex regional trade policy context (Allah Rakha, 2023).

The amendment also connects to Uzbekistan's broader engagement with China's Digital Silk Road technology initiatives. China's smart city technology investments in Uzbekistan extend well beyond physical trade infrastructure alone. Digital customs systems supporting this amendment often rely on similar Chinese technological partnerships. This creates deeper technological interdependence between Uzbekistan and Chinese digital infrastructure providers directly. Such interdependence carries clear implications for governance, data control, and technological sovereignty considerations. Uzbekistan must carefully balance efficiency gains from Chinese technology against broader governance and sovereignty concerns today. This technological dimension extends the amendment's implications well beyond simple trade facilitation measures alone. Policymakers should carefully evaluate long-term implications of deepening technological reliance on external partners. Digital connectivity increasingly accompanies physical and legal connectivity within broader Belt and Road engagement. This convergence represents an important, often overlooked dimension of Uzbekistan's connectivity strategy. Understanding this technological dimension helps clarify the amendment's full strategic significance (Tanaka, 2025).

Uzbekistan's amendment also carries implications for its position within the emerging Trans-Caspian Corridor. This corridor offers an alternative route connecting Central Asia toward Europe, bypassing traditional Russian pathways. Simplified Uzbek transit rules strengthen this corridor's competitiveness against alternative regional transport routes.

Geopolitical tensions affecting traditional trade routes increase the Trans-Caspian Corridor's relative strategic importance. Uzbekistan's legal reform positions the country favorably within this evolving alternative connectivity landscape. This diversification reduces regional dependence on any single transit corridor or partner country. Multiple competing corridors also create pressure for continuous legal and infrastructure improvement across Central Asia. Uzbekistan's amendment reflects this competitive dynamic among alternative regional trade transport corridors. Success within the Trans-Caspian Corridor further reinforces the value of Uzbekistan's connectivity-focused reforms. This implication highlights how geopolitical shifts elsewhere shape Uzbekistan's own strategic legal choices today. Such corridor competition ultimately benefits traders through improved regional transit options (Rentschler et al., 2025).

The amendment carries implications for financial technology integration across Belt and Road connected economies. Simplified trade procedures work best alongside modern digital payment and financial infrastructure systems. Uzbekistan's connectivity reform therefore intersects with broader Digital Silk Road financial technology development trends. Fitch infrastructure supports faster payments, reducing friction across newly simplified trade transaction processes. Governance-by-technology approaches increasingly shape how Belt and Road economies manage financial oversight today directly. Uzbekistan may need complementary Fitch development to fully realize its amendment's intended benefits. This connection highlights financial technology as an underexplored dimension supporting broader connectivity legal reforms. Investment attraction depends partly on efficient financial infrastructure supporting newly simplified trade procedures. This implication suggests future reforms should consider financial technology alongside customs and transit law. Comprehensive connectivity strategy therefore requires coordinated legal, physical, digital, and financial infrastructure development. This final implication reinforces the multidimensional nature of genuine regional connectivity reform (Iftikhar, 2025).

F. Implications

These findings extend traditional balance-of-power thinking by showing balancing now operates through legal and infrastructure channels, not military buildup alone. Pakistan's reliance on Chinese support and Uzbekistan's simplified transit law both demonstrate how connectivity substitutes for conventional strategic parity, challenging models built mainly around bilateral military competition. Positive outcomes include faster trade, reduced corruption, and stronger investor confidence across Central and South Asia, while negative outcomes include deeper dependence on external patrons and heightened suspicion among competing regional powers such as India. Policymakers gain a clearer basis for aligning legal reform with infrastructure investment rather than treating each separately across different government ministries. Existing legal frameworks still lag noticeably behind ambitious connectivity goals, leaving enforcement gaps across many overlapping jurisdictions and inconsistent institutional capacity. Governments, traders, investors, and

ordinary citizens linked to China-Pakistan-Central Asia corridors stand to benefit most directly from any coordinated future reform efforts made. These insights apply practically to trade facilitation planning, customs digitalization, and ongoing regional infrastructure negotiation. Weaknesses include uneven data availability and the difficulty of isolating legal reform's precise economic effect from broader regional trends. Connectivity and balancing together offer a more complete lens for understanding shifting power across South-Central Asia today.

Conclusion

Pakistan, India, and China form a strategic triangle shaped by rivalry, cooperation, and shifting connectivity. This relationship affects nuclear stability, regional trade, and security across South-Central Asia. China's growing partnership with Pakistan challenges India's regional position, prompting softer, multilateral balancing strategies. Connectivity projects like the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor reshape bilateral rivalries into a more complex triangular structure. Uzbekistan's legal amendments further extend this triangle into wider Central Asian trade networks. These developments matter because military competition alone no longer defines regional power dynamics fully. Legal reform, infrastructure investment, and economic dependence increasingly shape strategic outcomes alongside traditional security considerations. Understanding this broader triangle helps explain why nuclear-armed neighbors manage rivalry through economic and legal channels. This shift carries significance far beyond South Asia, influencing Eurasian trade routes, energy cooperation, and global connectivity initiatives. The topic therefore extends well beyond regional politics into wider questions about how modern states balance power.

Several key findings together support this expanded understanding of triangular strategic competition. Pakistan's growing military dependence on China reduces its need for direct parity with India. India increasingly relies on minilateral partnerships rather than pursuing costly, direct bilateral confrontation. Simplified transit laws in Uzbekistan strengthen China-linked corridors, reshaping power balances further. These findings connect strategic behavior with legal and economic reform in underexplored ways. Together, they show balancing now extends beyond military capacity into infrastructure, trade, and legal frameworks. This connection challenges older models treating strategic rivalry and economic policy as separate domains. Pakistan benefits from external patronage while pursuing connectivity independent of routes through Indian territory. India adapts by building resilient partnerships rather than matching every regional development directly. These interconnected patterns strengthen the case for viewing balancing and connectivity as mutually reinforcing forces. This combined evidence reinforces why the triangle requires attention to both military and economic dimensions.

These findings carry practical value for policymakers, investors, and researchers working across South-Central Asia. Governments can use this framework to align legal

reform with infrastructure planning more effectively. Investors evaluating connectivity corridors benefit from understanding how legal simplification interacts with regional strategic pressures. Trade facilitation agencies can apply these insights when designing customs and transit reform programs. Regional organizations may use this research when coordinating multi-country infrastructure projects like emerging railway corridors. Future research should examine how similar dynamics unfold across other Central Asian states beyond Uzbekistan specifically. Comparative studies could usefully test whether this framework applies to other multi-power regional rivalries occurring elsewhere. Researchers might also explore how digital and financial technology further reshape these connectivity-driven strategic relationships. Long-term monitoring would help determine whether current legal reforms produce their intended economic and strategic effects. These questions remain open, offering meaningful directions for continued scholarship on this evolving strategic triangle.

Bibliography

Allah Rakha, N. (2023). Artificial intelligence and HR management. *International Journal of Management and Finance, 1*(1). https://irshadjournals.com/index.php/ijmf/article/view/25

Allah Rakha, N. (2023). Artificial intelligence strategy of Uzbekistan: Policy framework, preferences, and challenges. *International Journal of Law and Policy, 1*(1). https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.27

Anjum, N. (2024). China's rising influence in South Asia through Belt and Road Initiative: Opportunities and challenges. *Central Asia Journal*, (95), 232. https://doi.org/10.54418/ca-95.232

Assanbayev, M. (2023). Enhancing connectivity of the countries of Central and South Asia. *Journal of Central Asian Studies*, 92(4), 29–38. https://doi.org/10.52536/2788-5909.2023-4.03

Balbaa, M., Dadabaev, U., Akhmedova, D., & Iskandarova, M. (2024). Fostering economic growth and global trade through digitalized international transport corridors: Examining the role of the eTIR convention in the proposed China-Kyrgyzstan-Uzbekistan railways for Uzbekistan. *American Journal of Business and Operations Research*, 11(1), 33–45. https://doi.org/10.54216/AJBOR.110104

Cieślik, A., & Gurshev, O. (2023). Trade policies in Central Asia after EAEU enlargement and after Russian WTO accession: Regionalism and integration into the world economy revisited. *Eurasian Geography and Economics*. https://doi.org/10.1080/15387216.2022.2162098

Clary, C. (2024). India, China, and Pakistan: Southern Asia's changing strategic triangle. In K. Bajpai, S. Ho, & M. C. Miller (Eds.), *Routledge handbook of China–India relations* (pp. 329–342). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003497899-26

Dadabaev, T., & Djalilova, N. (2021). Connectivity, energy, and transportation in Uzbekistan's strategy vis-à-vis Russia, China, South Korea, and Japan. *Asia Europe Journal*, 19(1), 93–108. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10308-020-00589-w

Dar, Z. A. (2024). Navigating the strategic triangle of South Asia: Analyzing the power dynamics between China, India and Pakistan. *International Journal of Political Analysis*, 8(2), 13. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ipa.20240802.13

Dhaka, A. (2026). Trans-Afghan Railway revives the Silk Road: The geoeconomic significance of the Uzbekistan–Afghanistan–Pakistan railway. *Journal of Asian Security and International Affairs*, 13(3). https://doi.org/10.1177/23477970261443747

Golunov, S. (2025). Central Asian cross-border cooperation. In R. Urinboyev (Ed.), *The political economy of Central Asian law* (pp. 45–68). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-84253-5_3

Hall, I. (2025). India's China strategy after Galwan: Minilateral and multilateral soft balancing in the Indo-Pacific. *International Affairs*, 101(5), 1815–1832. https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iiaf069

Hussain, M., Ramzan, H., & Singh, S. (2024). China–Pakistan Economic Corridor and its impact on India. *India Quarterly*, 80(4), 503–514. https://doi.org/10.1177/09749284241285121

Iftikhar, H. (2025). A multi-dimensional FinTech composite integrating infrastructure, access, usage, knowledge transfer and governance-by-technology: The role of Digital Silk Road policy in BRI economies. *Technology in Society*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2025.102815

Javaid, F. (2024). Pakistan's infrastructural & domestic challenges to connectivity with Central Asia: Role of regional & global powers. *Policy Perspectives*, 21(1), 73–101. https://doi.org/10.13169/polipers.21.1.ra5

Khan, A., & Saeed, A. (2026). Pakistan's potential to capitalize on sea trade for Central Asia. *Social Sciences Spectrum*, 5(1), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.71085/sss.05.01.451

Khetran, M. S. B., & Khalid, M. H. (2019). The China-Pakistan Economic Corridor: Gateway to Central Asia. *China Quarterly of International Strategic Studies*, 5(3), 455–469. https://doi.org/10.1142/S2377740019500179

Khitakhunov, A. (2024). The China-Kyrgyzstan-Uzbekistan railway: Causes and consequences. *Eurasian Research Journal*, 6(3), 41–54. https://doi.org/10.53277/2519-2442-2024.3-03

Kim, S. (2021). Kazakhstan's trade and transport corridor creation: Vision and competitive factors (The new China-Kyrgyzstan-Uzbekistan corridor). *Journal of Foreign Studies*, (55), 563. https://doi.org/10.15755/jfs.2021..55.563

Liu, D., & Jin, Y. (2024). How is a BRI project proposal softened and materialized? An examination of the China–Kyrgyzstan–Uzbekistan railway. *Journal of Contemporary China*. https://doi.org/10.1080/10670564.2024.2445535

Lokman, R., & Daud, S. (2022). CPEC and South Asia's geopolitical complex: Powers' rivalry. *Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities (MJSSH)*, 7(9), e1741. https://doi.org/10.47405/mjssh.v7i9.1741

Manhas, N. (2025). The geopolitical impact of China's CPEC on regional rivalries: Shifting power dynamics in South Asia. *Discover Global Society*, 3, Article 227. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44282-025-00227-

Mavlonov, A. (2023). The effect on anti-corruption of the customs information systems of the Republic of Uzbekistan. *World Customs Journal*, 17(2), 5–12. https://doi.org/10.55596/001c.88098

Megoran, N., & Enikeeva, Z. (2025). What happens when borders reopen? Dematerialising the Uzbekistan-Kyrgyzstan boundary. *Geopolitics*. https://doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2025.2575986

Mirzaev, M., & Donoqulov, N. (2020). Algorithm for organizing customs control for international car transportation under remote declaration. *2020 International Conference on Information Science and Communications Technologies (ICISCT)*. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICISCT50599.2020.9351517

Narvenkar, M. V. (2025). Geopolitical shifts in the Indo-Pacific: China's ambitions and India's security concerns. *Jadavpur Journal of International Relations*, 29(1), 7–45. https://doi.org/10.1177/09735984251347797

Nawaz, I., & Pang, S. (2026). The impact of transport and logistics infrastructure on foreign economic activity: A quantitative empirical analysis. *The Kashmir Journal of Academic Research and Development*, 2(2). https://doi.org/10.71317/kjard.2.2.2026.208

Paul, T. V. (2024). The Sino-Indian rivalry and balance-of-power theory: Explaining India's underbalancing. *Journal of Asian Security and International Affairs*, 11(4), 451–474. https://doi.org/10.1177/23477970241282065

Rauf, S. (2021). Regional connectivity in Pakistan and Central Asian Republics: Prospects and challenges. *China Quarterly of International Strategic Studies*, 7(3), 345–365. https://doi.org/10.1142/S2377740021500147

Rentschler, J., Reinhardt, A., Elbert, R., & Hummel, D. (2025). The Trans-Caspian Corridor – Geopolitical implications and transport opportunities. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 125, 104211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2025.104211

Shi, Y. (2025). A case study of the INSTC and CKU railway. *China and Global Studies*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42533-025-00196-7

Simpson, Z. P. (2024). Informing macrologistics connectivity in emerging economies through a triangulated research approach: The case of Uzbekistan. *Asia Europe Journal*, 22(3), 351–380. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10308-024-00708-x

Sultonov, M. (2025). Trade-growth dynamics in Central Asia: A causal analysis. *Central Asian Survey*. https://doi.org/10.1080/24761028.2026.2637289

Sultonov, Z. (2025). A comprehensive review of CASA-1000: Transforming regional energy cooperation. *Green Energy and Environmental Technology*. https://doi.org/10.5772/geet20250053

Tanaka, M. (2025). From the Digital Silk Road to the governance of cyberspace: China's smart city technology in Uzbekistan. *Journal of Eurasian Studies*. https://doi.org/10.1080/24761028.2026.2647684

Tekir, G. (2026). Uzbekistan in the Belt and Road Initiative: Reviving connectivity. *Journal of Eurasian Studies*. https://doi.org/10.1177/18793665251362866

Verma, R. (2024). India–China rivalry, China–Pakistan quasi-alliance, terrorism, and asymmetric balancing. *India Review*, 23(4), 370–395. https://doi.org/10.1080/14736489.2024.2382602

Wani, N. U. H. (2025). The quagmire of trade facilitation in Central Asia: Settled or unsettled. In *Trade and development in Central and South Asia*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-95-2728-1_13

Waters, J. (2018). Chapter 15 "Unimpeded trade" in Central Asia. In *The Belt and Road Initiative* (pp. 285–302). Brill. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004373792_016